



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,709	09/22/2000	Philip William Gillis	2925-0431P	9953
30594 75	11/10/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			CHANG, SUNRAY	
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2121	
		DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/667,709	GILLIS, PHILIP WILLIAM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sunray Chang	2121			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2000</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 - 30 are presented for examination.

Claims 1 - 30 are rejected.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 1 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Child et al.
   (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,475, and referred to as Child hereinafter).
- 4. Regarding independent claims 1, 11 and 21,
  - A simulation process;

Child teaches, an error log request which has been generated by a component of a communication software system is analyzed and compared to entries in one of a plurality of records in a message look-up table. [Col. 1, Line 55 ~ 58, and Abstract]

 Receiving a message (error log request) from a system (a component of a communication software system);

Child teaches "error log request which has been generated by a component of a communication software system." (Col. 1, Line 55 – 56 and Fig. 6)

Comparing (compared) the received message (entries) to information (plurality of records) stored in a response file (message look-up table) used to simulate system response (analyzed),

Child teaches an error log request which has been generated by a component of a communication software system is analyzed and compared to entries in one of a plurality of records in a message look-up table. (Col. 1, Line 55 – 58, and Fig. 6)

The response file (message look-up table) including at least one message (one of a plurality of records),

Child teaches an error log request which has been generated by a component of a communication software system is analyzed and compared to entries in one of a plurality of records in a message look-up table. (Col. 1, Line 55 – 58, and Fig. 6)

A message marker (message number) associated with each message,

Child teaches if the message is to be displayed, the message handler retrieves the **message** identified by the **message number** from the operating system message file. (Col. 4, Line 52 - 55, and Col. 5, 46 - 55)

 At least one response associated with (Type, Subtype) each message, and an end-of-response marker (Length) associated with each response;

Child teaches at least one response associated with each message (**Type**, **Subtype**, Fig. 3), and an end-of-response marker associated with each response (**Length**, Fig. 4, Fig. 5);

Simulating (analyzed and compared to) a response to the system message (an error log request)

Child teaches an error log request which has been generated by a component of a communication software system is analyzed and compared to (Col. 1, Line 55 - 57, and 62 - 64)

By outputting (displayed) a response (message) stored in (logged to) association with a stored message (error record) matching (comparison) the received message (error record), upon the received message matching (comparison) a message stored in the response file (entries in the message look-up table),

Child teaches the error log request handler then assembles an error record from the information contained in the control block and stores the error record into the error log file. After the error record has been assembled and logged, the error log request handler performs a comparison of the record with entries in the message look-up table to determine if a message should be generated as a result of the error log request. (Col. 4, Line 24 - 32, and Col. 5, Line 46 - 55)

Child teaches the **message** to be **displayed**. (Col. 4, Line 52, and Col. 5, Line 59 – 63)

Application/Control Number: 09/667,709

Art Unit: 2121

• Wherein upon at least two responses being stored in association with a message,

Child teaches wherein upon at least two responses (DATA1 -3, Fig. 3) being stored in association with a message (MSG #, Fig. 3),

The at least two responses (DATA 1-3) are sequentially (queue) output (log display request) in response to sequential receipt (queue) of the message (message/error log).

Child teaches at least two responses (DATA1 -3, Fig. 3)

Child teaches Error log requests and message log/display requests, which are generated by the components of the communication software system, are placed into a message/error log queue. (Col. 4, Line 17 - 21, and Col. 5, Line 57 - 63)

- 5. Regarding dependent claims 2, 12 and 22,
  - Child teaches the simulation process occurs within the system (Fig. 1).
- 6. Regarding dependent claims 3, 13 and 23,

Child teaches the simulation process (analysis, Col. 1, Line 19) occurs within a device (component, Col. 1, Line 18) separate from, but operatively (services or functions, Col. 1, Line 20) connected to the system (Communication Software System, Col. 1, Line 17).

7. Regarding dependent claims 4, 14 and 24,

Application/Control Number: 09/667,709

Art Unit: 2121

Child teaches the response file (a look-up table which is a part of an error request handler, Col. 3, Line  $40 \sim 41$ ) includes at least one autonomous response (forwards data to be inserted into a message to be generate and displayed to the user, Col. 3, Line  $48 \sim 50$ ), the autonomous response is output a predetermined time (frequently monitored, Col. 1, Line 42) after simulation begins, irrespective of a received message (forwards data to be inserted into a message to be generate and displayed to the user, Col. 3, Line  $48 \sim 50$ ).

Page 6

8. Regarding dependent claims 5, 15 and 25,

Child teaches the response file (a look-up table which is a part of an error request handler, Col. 3, Line  $40 \sim 41$ ) includes at least one autonomous response (forwards data to be inserted into a message to be generate and displayed to the user, Col. 3, Line  $48 \sim 50$ ), the autonomous response is periodically output (frequently monitored, Col. 1, Line 42) irrespective of a received message. (forwards data to be inserted into a message to be generate and displayed to the user, Col. 3, Line  $48 \sim 50$ ).

9. Regarding dependent claims 6, 16 and 26,

Child teaches the response file (message look-up table, Line 4, Abstract) includes at least two different messages (Records, Line 4, Abstract), each associated with at least one response (match between the field of the error log request and selected entries of a record in the look-up table, Line 4-6, Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/667,709

Art Unit: 2121

10. Regarding dependent claims 7, 17 and 27,

Child teaches storing a record of a received message (logging the error information, Col. 1, Line 26), wherein upon a message being received a second time (there are other records, Col. 5, Line 48), either a second response stored (logging the error information, Col. 1, Line 26) in association with the received message is output (display and/or logging of the message to the user, Col. 5, Line 62), or the first response is again output (display and/or logging of the message to the user, Col. 5, Line 62) if no second response is stored in association with the received message (determines whether the end of the message look-up table has been attained or if a match has been found, Col. 5, Line 46 ~ 48).

11. Regarding dependent claims 8, 18 and 28,

Child teaches sequential responses stored in the response file (placed into a message/error log queue, Col. 4, Line 20) in association with (assembled and logged, Col. 4, Line 28) a common message (error record, Col. 4, Line 27) are sequentially output (are placed into a message/error log queue, Col. 4, Line 20) upon successive receipt (are placed into, Col. 4, Line 20) of the common message (error record, Col. 4, Line 27).

12. Regarding dependent claims 9, 19 and 29,

Child teaches sequential responses stored in the response file (placed into a message/error log queue, Col. 4, Line 20) in association with (assembled and logged,

Col. 4, Line 28) a common message (error record, Col. 4, Line 27) are sequentially output (are placed into a message/error log queue, Col. 4, Line 20) upon successive receipt (are placed into, Col. 4, Line 20) of the common message (error record, Col. 4, Line 27).

Page 8

13. Regarding dependent claims 10, 20 and 30,

Child teaches the response file (error log file, Col. 4, Line 27) is created using a log file (error log file, Col. 4, Line 27) of the system.

#### Response to Amendment

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. Regarding independent claims 1, 11, and 21, Applicants' argument regarding "Child is directed to dynamic message generation, and not to a method of simulation based on stored responses" (Page 8, line 8 – 10) is respectfully disagreed with. Child anticipates that an error log request which has been generated (stored response) by a component of a communication software system is analyzed and compared to (method of simulation) [Col. 1, Line 55 ~ 58] as set forth in independent claim 1 of the current rejection.

Further, Child teaches, "the depth of the interaction between the user and the computer system varies by the type of operating system and the application program", and also Fig. 6, there are several different matches between messages and responses.

Applicants' argument further regarding "Child cannot disclose or suggest a response stored in association with a stored messages" (Page 8, line 18 – 19) is respectfully disagreed

with. Child anticipates that an error log request which has been generated by a component of a communication software system is analyzed and compared to entries (stored message) in one of a plurality of records in a message look-up table (response stored) [Col. 1, Line 55 ~ 58], as set forth in independent claim 1 of the current rejection.

15. Since applicant has not provided a separate argument for dependent claims 2 - 10, 12 - 20, and 22 - 30, the response with respect to independent claims 1, 11, and 21 is considered sufficient.

#### Conclusion

16. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Sunray Chang
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2121
Technology Center 2100
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

November 4, 2004

Thai Phan

Patent Examiner

AU: 2128